

## Flourishing During Emerging and Early Established Adulthood: Contributions of Satisfaction with Love, Education, Work, and Leisure

## Background

Flourishing, initially a core element of positive youth development, has been recognized as an indicator of emerging adult well-being (Brewer et al., 2018; Padilla-Walker & Nelson, 2017; Parr, 2020). Flourishing reflects a subjective sense of personal achievement, thriving, and positive assessment of one's life (Diener et al., 2010). In the emerging adult context of unsettled lives (Arnett, 2014) and the established adult experience of the "career-family crunch" (Mehta et al., 2020), flourishing may be a particularly salient indicator of how well one is meeting the ongoing developmental challenges. Because the domains of love, education, work, and leisure are salient developmental contexts during emerging and established adulthood, satisfaction with those areas may contribute to flourishing.

## Method

Emerging (18-29 years-old; n = 610) and established (30-35 yearsold; n = 312) adults participated in the Identity Development During Emerging Adulthood (IDDEA) study. The IDDEA study was a crosssectional survey with participants recruited via Qualtrics Panel Services (see Table 1 for sample demographics).

Participants completed the flourishing scale (8-items; Diener et al., 2010) and reported their satisfaction with their love life (5-items; Neto, 2005), education (2-items; Howard et al., 2010), work (3-items; Howard et al., 2010), and leisure (1-item).

Satisfaction with Developmental Domains and Flourishing.						
	Full Sample (N = 922)	Emerging Adults (n = 610)	Established Adults (n = 312)			
	M (SD)	M (SD)	M (SD)			
Satisfaction with love life	4.83 (1.64)	4.76 (1.64)	4.96 (1.66)			
Satisfaction with work life	3.41 (1.07)	3.38 (1.06)	3.46 (1.11)			
Satisfaction with educational experiences	3.89 (0.91)	3.86 (0.92)	3.95 (0.910			
Satisfaction with leisure	5.29 (1.43)	5.25 (1.45)	5.36 (1.38)			
Flourishing	5.53 (1.05)	5.47 (1.07)	5.64 (0.98)			

Jonathon J. Beckmeyer, PhD - West Virginia University Tyler B. Jamison, PhD University of New Hampshire Cindy Hartman, PhD University of New Hampshire

## Key Takeaways

Flourishing may be rooted, at least in part, in how emerging and established adults feel about their experiences in the salient developmental domains of love, education, work, and leisure.

Developmental stage (emerging vs. established adult) does not moderate any associations. Thus, satisfaction with each developmental domain appears equally important for emerging and established adults.

	В	SE	β	p - value
Established adult	0.07	0.10	.03	.486
Satisfaction with love life	0.12	0.02	.19	< .001
Satisfaction with work life	0.21	0.03	.22	< .001
Satisfaction with educational experiences	0.31	0.03	.27	< .001
Satisfaction with leisure	0.18	0.02	.25	< .001
F(5, 900)	133.99			< .001
$\Delta R^2$	.403			
Satisfaction with love life x established adult	0.01	0.04	.01	.751
Satisfaction with work life x established adult	0.08	0.06	.05	.201
Satisfaction with education x established adult	-0.17	0.07	08	.019
Satisfaction with leisure x established adult	-0.11	0.05	01	.819
F(4, 896)	1.51			.199
$\Delta R^2$	.00			

Gender Female Male Transgender or a Sexual identity Bisexual Gay or lesbian Heterosexual Another sexual Race/ethnicity Asian non-Hispan **Black non-Hispan** Hispanic Multiracial White non-Hispa Another race/eth Employment Full-time employ Part-time employ Unemployed Stay-at-home pa **Another employ Educational attain** High school diplo Some or current **Vocational or Ass** 4-year college de Professional or g ls a parent **Romantic involvem** Single Dating **Romantic relatio** Engaged Married Age

Table 1. Participan



t Demographic and Descriptive Statistics.						
	Full Sample	Emerging	Established			
	(N = 922)	Adults	Adults			
		(n = 610)	(n = 312)			
	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)			
		, ,				
	465 (50.4%)	300 (49.2%)	165 (52.9%)			
	444 (48.2%)	298 (48.9%)	146 (46.8%)			
ender non-binary		12 (1.9%)	1 (0.3%)			
	( , . ,	(,	_ (0.070)			
	89 (9.7%)	67 (11.0%)	22 (7.1%)			
	40 (4.3%)	33 (5.4%)	7 (2.2%)			
	759 (82.3%)	485 (79.5%)	274 (87.8%)			
dentity	34 (3.7%)	25 (4.1%)	9 (2.9%)			
			5 (2.570)			
าเด	82 (8.9%)	54 (8.9%)	28 (9.0%)			
nic	134 (14.5%)	100 (16.4%)	34 (10.9%)			
	100 (10.8%)	79 (13.0%)	21 (6.7%)			
	53 (5.7%)	41 (6.7%)	12 (3.8%)			
nic	523 (56.7%)	321 (52.6%)	202 (64.7%)			
	16 (1.7%)	7 (1.1%)	9 (2.9%)			
nnicity	10(1.770)	/ (1.1/0)	9 (2.970)			
mont	502 (54.4%)	293 (48.0%)	209 (67.0%)			
ment	189 (20.5%)	149 (24.4%)	40 (12/8%)			
yment		. , ,				
	151 (16.4%)	121(19.8%)	30 (9.6%)			
rent	45 (4.9%)	20(3.3%)	25 (8.0%)			
ment status	35 (3.8%)	27 (4.4%)	8 (2.6%)			
ment						
ma or less	176 (19.1%)	154 (25.2%)	23 (7.4%)			
college student	171 (18.5%)	151 (24.8%)	20 (6.4%)			
sociates degree	94 (10.2%)	71 (11.6%)	23 (7.4%)			
gree	337 (36.6%)	176 (28.9%)	161 (51.6%)			
raduate degree	143 (15.5%)	58 (9.5%)	85 (27.2%)			
	301 (32.6%)	127 (20.8%)	174 (55.8%)			
nent						
	408 (44.3%)	313 (51.3%)	95 (30.4%)			
	51 (5.5%)	42 (6.9%)	9 (2.9%)			
nship	135 (14.6%)	103 (16.9%)	32 (10.3%)			
	54 (5.9%0	38 (6.2%)	16 (5.1%)			
	274 (29.7%)	114 (18.7%)	160 (51.3%)			
	M (SD)	M (SD)	M (SD)			
	26.65 (5.23)	23.62 (3.53)	32.57 (1.85)			

© Poster Template by Genigraphics® 1.800.790.4001 www.genigraphics